EXHIBIT H

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re Terrorist Attacks on September 11, 2001	03 MDL 1570 (GBD)(SN)
	ECF Case

This document relates to:

Ashton, et al. v. al Qaeda Islamic Army, et al., 02-cv-6977 (GBD)(SN)

DECLARATION OF PLAINTIFF EILEEN LYNCH IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION FOR A FINAL JUDGMENT AGAINST TALIBAN AND MUHAMMAD OMAR

Eileen Lynch, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, declares under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America, that the following is true and correct:

- 1. I am the court-appointed personal representative of the Estate of Farrell Lynch, who perished at the World Trade Center as a result of the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001.
- 2. I submit this Declaration on my own behalf as the spouse of Farrell Lynch and on behalf of the only other New York State designated "heirs" of my late husband, our three children: Anne Lynch, Kathleen Lynch, and Meghan Lynch.
- 3. I can affirm to the Court that my deceased husband lived with me and my three children at a private home at 43 Seaspray Drive, Centerport, New York 11721 at the time of his death. I can further affirm that my children and I were the only "immediate family members" living with my husband and that no other family member lived with us (or was dependent on my husband financially or emotionally) at the time of his death.

Case 1:03-md-01570-GBD-SN Document 9407-8 Filed 11/02/23 Page 3 of 20

Finally, I use afform that the death of my hashand affected me and our children.

not only in the days following his death, but his death continues to adversity affect our

omotional and financial condition up to and through the present day.

5. I am now represented by the Lavy Office of John F. Schutty P.C. My prior

lawyers obtained a default judgment for me personally and on behalf of my three children only

for xolaitum damages.

6. My prior attorneys (Speiser Krause) did not seek a default (infoment preyonally

for the following damages: (a) the economic loss suffered by my late husband's Estate as a remit

of his death, or (b) for my late hasband's conscious pain and suffering before his death (by

previous court order. \$2 million per decedent). I am asking this Court to add these damage tiems.

to the default judgment entered against the Islamic Republic of Iran on my behalf and on behalf

of my three daughters.

7 Annexed hereto is the Report of Dr. Gary Crakes summarizing the economic loss.

sustained by the Estate of Farrell Lynch as a result of his death. Dr. Crikes has opined that my

husband's Estate sustained economic losses totaling \$18,289,314

9. For the foregoing reasons, I ask that the Court deem my children and me as the

only "immediate family members" of Farrell Lynch and thereafter unter a default judgment

award in the amounts requested by our new attorney (and economist).

Dated New York, New York

December 20, 2019

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the Linted States of America that the

foregoing is true and correct

Eileen Lynch

APPRAISAL OF ECONOMIC LOSS

Farrell Lynch

Prepared for: Jeanne M. O'Grady, Esq.
SPEISER KRAUSE
Counsellors at Law
800 Westchester Avenue
Suite 608
Rye Brook, NY 10573

Prepared by: Gary M. Crakes, PhD

Table of Contents

Exhibi	<u>.t</u>	page
	Letter of transmittal	1
	Discussion	2,3
I	Summary of appraisal	4
II	Work-life and life expectancy	5
III	Average hourly earnings of production workerssince 1932	6
IV	Federal Income Tax Schedules for Individuals: Tax tables, standard deductions and personal exemptions, 2016 and 2017 (table)	7
V	Taxable returns: Adjusted gross income (AGI), income tax and tax ratio, 1947-2014 (table)	8
VI	Employer Costs for Employee Compensation: September 2016, U. S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, December, 2016 (table)	9
VII	Estimated annual value of household services (table)	10
	Gary M. Crakes: Curriculum vitae	

MAHER, CRAKES AND ASSOCIATES

ECONOMIC CONSULTANTS 860 WARD LANE CHESHIRE, CONNECTICUT 06410

> (203) 272-1205 FAX: (203) 250-8452

JOHN MAHER, PHD 1925 - 2005 GARY M. CRAKES, PHD

December 20, 2017

RE: Farrell Lynch

Jeanne M. O'Grady, Esq. SPEISER KRAUSE Counsellors at Law 800 Westchester Avenue Suite 608 Rye Brook, NY 10573

Dear Ms. O'Grady:

The following report on the economic loss of the above named is an objective estimate of the loss in this case, measured as scientifically and accurately as the data permit.

If you have questions about calculations or concepts or feel that additional aspects should be explored, please contact us. Exhibits I and II in this report are prepared to be suitable as enlargements for use at trial.

If the case is not settled, please schedule time for appearance at trial sufficiently in advance that we may plan accordingly.

Respectfully submitted,

Gary M. Crakes, PhD

GMC:dc

Discussion of appraisal: Farrell Lynch

Farrell Lynch was born March 7, 1962 (1962.18) and died September 11, 2001 (2001.70) at age 39.52 years with life expectancy of 37.13 years and work-life to age 70 of 30.48 years. See Exhibit II.

In this appraisal of the economic loss of earning capacity, we have calculated the lifetime earnings of Farrell Lynch with work-life to age 70 based on a 2001 annual earnings rate of \$874,844. Calculation of the value of lifetime earnings based on a 2001 annual earnings rate of \$874,844 results in earnings loss for the 16.30 years in the past of \$17,572,869 with adjustment for each year in the past by the annual percentage change in general levels of earnings. Calculation of future discounted earnings assumes that the future average annual rate of growth of earnings will be equal to the discount rate. With this assumption and current estimated annual earnings of \$1,298,120, future discounted earnings are \$18,407,342. Total discounted lifetime earnings based on a 2001 annual earnings rate of \$874,844 are \$35,980,211. This value appears as the first entry to the summary, Exhibit I.

Further shown in the summary, Exhibit I, is a deduction for federal, state, and city income taxes of 45.00% of earnings. The percentage for federal income tax was obtained using tax rates for the years in the past and the tax rates from the federal personal income tax schedule displayed in Exhibit IV. (Exhibit V presents historical tax rates from 1947 to 2014 and demonstrates the relatively small percentage point changes in rates over time.) With the deduction for federal, state, and city income taxes, after-tax discounted economic loss is \$19,789,116.

Next shown in Exhibit I is a deduction of 10% of gross earnings as an allowance for personal maintenance expenses. (See Consumer Expenditures in 2015, U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, April, 2017.) With this deduction, discounted economic loss is \$16,191,095.

Finally, we have included two additional values. First, we have included the value of fringe benefits calculated at 5% of earnings. (For the average benefit payments in the United States, see Exhibit VI with data from the Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Labor.) Second, we have included the value of Farrell Lynch's household services. Data for the estimate are presented in Exhibit VII and are derived from "Time Use During the Great Recession", Mark Aguiar, Erik Hurst, and Loukas Karabarbounis, American Economic Review, August, 2013 and wage rates from the Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Labor. Adjustment and application of the appropriate values provides an estimate of discounted loss of \$299,509, canceling future rates of increase by the discount rate. With the addition of these values, discounted economic loss is \$18,289,614. See Exhibit I.

It is our opinion that the discounted economic loss in this case is \$18,289,614.

Summary of appraisal: Farrell Lynch

	•		Discounted values
I	Basic earnings based on 2001 annual earnings rate of \$874,844		\$35,980,211
II	Less federal, state, and city income taxes at 45% of earnings		-16,191,095
		Subtotal	\$19,789,116
III	Less personal maintenance at		
	10% of earnings		<u>- 3,598,021</u>
		Subtotal	\$16,191,095
IV	Plus value of fringe benefits		1,799,010
		Subtotal	\$17,990,105
V	Plus value of household services		299,509
	Total net discounted economic loss		\$18,289,614

Exhibit II

WORK-LIFE AND LIFE EXPECTANCY

Farrell Lynch

	Year and fractional equivalent	Number of years
A. Date of birth:		
March 7, 1962	1962.18	
B. As of date of death:		
September 11, 2001	2001.70	
1. Age		39.52
2. Work-life to age 70		30.48
3. Life expectancy		37.13

Source:

National Vital Statistics Reports, 2001,
 U. S. Department of Health and Human Services,
 National Center for Health Statistics, Volume 52, Number 14,
 February 18, 2004.

TABLE 98. Average Hourly Earnings of Production or Nonsupervisory Workers 1 on Private Nonagricultural Payrolls, by Industry Division, 1932-74

(In dollars)

		Contractfacts		Manu-	Trans- porta-			Finance,					
Year and month	Total private		tion	Total 2	Durable goods	Nondur- able goods	overtime excluding ing	tion and public utilities	Total	Whole- sale	Betail	and real estate s	Serv- Ices
1932				0.441	0.492	0.412							
1933				. 437	. 467	.419							******
1934				. 526	. 550	. 508							·
1938		(. 544	. 571	.520				0,610			******
1938				. 550	. 880	. 519				. 628			
1937				.617	. 667	. 566			*****	. 658			
1938				. 620	. 679	. 572				. 874			
1939				. 627	. 691	. 571				. 688	0.484		
1940				. 655	.716	. 590	<u>-</u>			.711	. 494		
1941				. 726	. 799	. 627	0.691			. 763	. 818		
1942				. 957	1.048	. 709 . 787	. 798 . 881			. 828	. 559		
1943				1.011	1, 105	. 844	. 933			. 898	. 606	•••••	
1945				1.016	1.099	.886	3 94P			- 948	. 653		
1946				1.075	1,144	. 995	1.035		*	. 990	. 699		• • • • • • • • •
1947	1. 131	1.48P	1.541	1.217	1, 278	1, 145	1.18		0.940	1, 107 1, 220	. 797	:-:-:	
1948	1. 225	1.664	1.713	1. 328	1. 395	1, 260	1.29		1.010	1.308	4.838 .901	1.140 1.200	******
949	1, 275	1.717	1, 792	1. 378	1.453	1, 296	1.34		1.060	1.360	. 951	1. 260	
1560	1.335	i. 772	1.863	1.440	1. 519	1.347	1.39		1, 100	1.427	. 983	1.340	
951	1. 45	1.92	2.02	1.56	1, 65	1.44	1.51		1.18	1.52	1.06	1.45	*******
1982	1.52	2 01	2.13	L 65	1.75	1.51	1.59		1.23	1.61	1.09	1.51	******
953	1.61	2.14	2, 28	1.74	1.86	1.58	1.68		1.30	1.70	1.16	1.58	
254	1.65	2.14	2.39	1.78	1, 90	1.62	1.73		1.35	1.76	1, 20	1.65	
1953	1.71	2.20	2.45	1.86	1.99	1.67	1.79		1.40	1,83	1. 25	1.70	
1956	1.60	2.33	2.57	1.95	2.08	1.77	4 1.89		1.47	1.94	1.30	1,78	1
967	1.89	2,46	2.71	2.05	2.19	1.85	1.99		1.54	2.02	1.37	1.84	
1958	1. 95	2,47	2,82	2.11	2, 26	1. 91	2.05		1.60	2.09	1.42	1.89	
1989	2.02	2,56	2,93	2.19	2.85	1.98	2.12		1.66	2.18	1. 47	1.95	
1980	2.09	2.61	3.08	2.26	2.43	2.05	2.20		1.71	2.24	1.52	2.02	
1961	2.14	2.64	3.20	2.32	2.49	2.11	2.25		1.76	2.31	1.56	2,09	
1962	2, 22	2.70	3.31	2.39	2. 56	2.17	2.31		1.83	2.37	1.63	2.17	
983	2,28	2.75	3.41	2.46	2.63	2.22	2.37	********	1.89	2.45	1.68	2, 25	
1964	2.36	2.81	3. 55	2.53	2. 71	2, 29	244	2.88	1.96	2, 52	1.75	2, 30	1.94
1965	2.45 2.55	2.92 3.05	3.70 3.89	2.61 2.72	2.79 2.90	2. 36 2. 45	2. 51 2. 59	3. 03 3. 11	2.03	2.61	1.82	2.39	2.05
1966 1967	2.68	8.19	4.11	2.83	3.00	2.57	2.7	3. 24	2 13 2 24	2. 73 2. 88	1.91 2.01	2.47 2.58	2, 17
1968	2.85	3.35	141	3.01	3.19	2.74	2.88	3. 42	2.40	3.05	2.16	2.75	2.29
1969	3.04	3.61	1.79	ã. 19	3. 38	2.91	3,06	3.64	2.55	3. 23	2.30	2.98	2.61
1970	3, 22	3.85	5, 24	3, 36	3, 55	3.08	3.24	3.85	2.71	3.44	244	3.08	2.81
1971	3.44	4.06	8, 69	8. 57	3.79	3. 26	3.44	4.21	2,86	3, 67	2.57	3. 27	3. 02
1972	3. 67	4.41	6.03	3.81	4L 06	8.47	3, 66	4.64	3. 01	3.88	2.70	3.42	3, 23
1973	8.92	4.72	6.38	4.07	4. 33	3.68	3.89	5.03	3, 20	4.12	2.67	3, 57	3, 46
1974	4.22	5.20	8.76	4.40	4.68	3.98	4. 23	5. 40	3. 47	4.49	3. 10	3.81	3, 74
						ا		···}				4 7,55.4	

Data relate to production workers in mining and manufacturing: to construction workers in contract construction; and to nonsupervisory workers in transportation and public utilities; wholesale and retail trade; finance, insurance, and real state; and services.

Prior data are as follows:

ra als ma torioms:		
1909 0. 191	1922 0, 482	1927 0, 544
1914221	1923 516	1928556
1919 472	1924	1929 \$60
1920 549	1925541	1930 546
1921509	1926 542	1931 509

³ Excludes data on nonoffice salespersons.
⁴ Beginning 1947, includes data on eating and drinking places.
⁵ 11-month average.
⁶ Prior to January 1956, data were based on the application of adjustment factors to gross average hourly earnings. (For a detailed description see the Monthly Labor Review, May 1950, pp. 557-540; reprint available, serial No. R 2020.)

Norz: Data include Alaska and Hawaii beginning 1959,

			_										
Private Sector							Service Industry						
1975	\$ 4.53	1993	\$11.03	2011	\$19.46	1975	\$4.02	1993	\$10.60	2011	\$19.21		
1976	4.86	1994	11.32	2012	19.77	1976	4.31	1994	10.87	2012	19.52		
1977	5.25	1995	11.64	2013	20.14	1977	4.65	1995	11.19	2013	19.91		
1978	5.69	1996	12.03	2014	20.60	1978	4.99	1996	11.57	2014	20.36		
1979	6.16	1997	12.49	2015	21.03	1979	5.36	1997	12.05	2015	20.83		
1980	6.66	1998	13.00	2016	21.56	1980	5.85	1998	12.59	2016	21.34		
1981	7.25	1999	13.47			1981	6.41	1999	13.07				
1982	7.68	2000	14.00			1982	6.92	2000	13.60				
1983	8.02	2001	14.53			1983	7.30	2001	14.16				
1984	8.33	2002	14.95			1984	7.64	2002	14.56				
1985	8.58	2003	15.35			1985	7.95	2003	14.96	*			
1986	8.76	2004	15.67			1986	8.16	2004	15.26				
1987	8.98	2005	16.11			1987	8.49	2005	15.71				
1988	9.29	2006	16.76			1988	8.88	2006	16.42				
1989	9.66	2007	17.43			1989	9.39	2007	17.11	•			
1990	10.19	2008	18.08			1990	9.71	2008	17.77				
1991	10.50	2009	18.62			1991	10.05	2009	18.35				
1992	10.76	2010	19.04		•	1992	10.33	2010	18.78				

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, U. S. Department of Labor

Federal Income Tax Schedules for Individuals: Tax tables, standard deductions and personal exemptions, 2016 and 2017

standard deduct	ions and p	personal e	xemptions, 2016 and	2017
2016		•	2017	
Tax Rates	s for Marr	ied Coupl	es Filing Jointly	
Taxable Income	Rate		Taxable Income	Rate
\$0-\$18,550 \$18,550-\$75,300 \$75,300-\$151,900 \$151,900-\$231,450 \$231,450-\$413,350 \$413,350-\$466,950 Over \$466,950	10% 15% 25% 28% 33% 35% 39.60%		\$0-\$18,650 \$18,650-\$75,900 \$75,900-\$153,100 \$153,100-\$233,350 \$233,350-\$416,700 \$416,700-\$470,700 Over \$470,700	10% 15% 25% 28% 33% 35% 39.60%
		iduals Fil	ling Single Returns	
Taxable Income	Rate		Taxable Income	Rate
\$0-\$9,275 \$9,275-\$37,650 \$37,650-\$91,150 \$91,150-\$190,150 \$190,150-\$413,350 \$413,350-\$415,050 Over \$415,050	10% 15% 25% 28% 33% 35% 39.60%		\$0-\$9,325 \$9,325-\$37,950 \$37,950-\$91,900 \$91,900-\$191,650 \$191,650-\$416,700 \$416,700-\$418,400 Over \$418,400	10% 15% 25% 28% 33% 35% 39.60%
		als Filing	g as Head of Househo	Ld
Taxable Income \$0-\$13,250 \$13,250-\$50,400 \$50,400-\$130,150 \$130,150-\$210,800 \$210,800-\$413,350 \$413,350-\$441,000 Over \$441,000	Rate 10% 15% 25% 28% 33% 35% 39.60%		Taxable Income \$0-\$13,350 \$13,350-\$50,800 \$50,800-\$131,200 \$131,200-\$212,500 \$212,500-\$416,700 \$416,700-\$444,550 Over \$444,550	Rate 10% 15% 25% 28% 33% 35% 39.60%
Tax Rates fo	or Married	Individua	als Filing Separately	7
Taxable Income \$0-\$9,275 \$9,275-\$37,650 \$37,650-\$75,950 \$75,950-\$115,725 \$115,725-\$206,675 \$206,675-\$233,475 Over \$233,475	Rate 10% 15% 25% 28% 33% 35% 39.60%		Taxable Income \$0-\$9,325 \$9,325-\$37,950 \$37,950-\$76,550 \$76,550-\$116,675 \$116,675-\$208,350 \$208,350-\$235,350 Over \$235,350	Rate 10% 15% 25% 28% 33% 35% 39.60%
Standard Deduction			Personal Ex	emption
•	2016	2017	2016 2	017
Married, joint Single Head of household Married, separate	\$12,600 6,300 9,300 6,300	\$12,700 6,350 9,350 6,350	\$4,050 \$4	,050

Exhibit V

Taxable returns: Adjusted gross income (AGI), income tax, and tax ratio, 1947-2014

<u>Year</u>	Adjusted gross income (AGI) billions of \$	Income tax in billions of \$	Ratio of tax to AGI	Year	Adjusted gross income (AGI) billions of \$	Income tax in billions of \$	Ratio of tax to AGI
1947	135.3	18.1	.1336	1988	2,989.9	411.8	.1377
1948	142.1	15.4	.1087	1989	3,158.3	432.1	.1368
1949	138.7	14.5	.1049	1990	3,298.9	446.3	.1353
1950	158.5	18.4	.1160	1991	3,335.2	447.2	11341
1951	185.1	24.2	.1309	1992	3,483.9	474.9	.1363
1952	198.5	27.8	.1401	1993	3,564.0	500.7	.1405
1953	212.4	29.4	.1386	1994	3,736.6	532.6	.1425
1954	209.7	26.7	.1272	1995	4,007.6	586.1	.1462
1955	229.6	29.6	.1290	1996	4,341.9	655.4	.1510
1956	249.6	32.7	.1312	1997	4,785.2	727.3	.1520
1957	262.2	34.4	.1312	1998	5,160.2	783.5	.1518
1958	262.2	34.3	.1310	1999	5,580.8	870.9	.1561
1959	287.8	38.6	.1332	2000	6,083.3	980.5	.1612
1960	297.2	39.5	.1330	2001	5,847.1	887.9	.1519
1961	311.3	42.2	.1356	2002	5,641.1	797.0	.1413
1962	330.6	44.9	.1358	2003	5,746.6	748.0	.1302
1963	350.4	48.2	.1376	2004	6,265.5	832.0	.1328
1964	376.0	47.2	.1254	2005	6,856.7	934.8	.1363
1965	400.3	49.5	.1209	2006	7,439.5	1,023.9	.1376
1966	450.2	56.1	.1246	2007	8,072.3	1,115.8	.1382
1967	487.4	62.9	.1291	2008	7,583.5	1,031.6	.1360
1968	538.3	76.6	.1424	2009	6,777.7	865.9	.1278
1969	588.2	86.6	.1472	2010	7,246.2	951.7	.1313
1970	610.3	83.8	.1370	2011	7,693.2	1,045.5	.1359
1971	651.3	85.2	.1309	2012	8,442.2	1,188.0	.1407
1972	717.4	93.6	.1300	2013	8,426.0	1,218.5	.1446
1973	799.7	108.1	.1350	2014	9,102.6	1,355.3	.1489
1974	800.2	123.7	.1410				
1975	893.3	124.4	.1385				
1976	1,004.4	140.8	.1402				
1977	1,097.7	158.5	.1444				
1978	1,241.2	186.7	.1504				
1979	1,402.2	213.3	.1521				
1980	1,556.1	249.1	.1601				
1981	1,721.2	282.3	.1640				
1982	1,803.8	277.6	.1539				
1983	1,895.2	274.2	.1447				
1984	2,110.5	304.0	.1440				
1985	2,259.0	321.9	.1425				
1986	2,440.2	360.6	.1478				
1987	2,700.8	367.5	.1361				

Source: Annual issues of Individual Income Tax Returns, Statistics of Income, Internal Revenue Service

Table 1, Employer costs per hour worked for employee compensation and costs as a percent of total compensation: olvillan workers, by major occupational and industry group, September 2016

				Occupat	onal group			
Compensation component		All workers 1		Management, professional, and related		Sales and office		rvice
	Cost	Percent	Cost	Percent	Cost	Percent	Cost	Percent
Total compensation	\$34,15	100.0	\$58.87	100,0	\$24,95	100,0	\$17.79	100.0
Weges and salaries	23.42	69.8	38.85	68.2	17.56	70.4	12.72	71.5
Total benefits	10.73	31.4	10.81	31.5	7.38	29.8	5.07	28.5
Paid leave	2.36 1.15	6.9 3.4	4.49 2,17	7.9 3.5	1.65 0.82	6.6 3.3	0.89 0.42	5.0
Holdey	0.71	2.1	1.30	23	0.62	2.0	0.42	2.4 1.8
8kd:	0.35	1.0	0,72	1.3	0,21	0.9	0.14	0.8
Persona)	0,14	0.4	0,29	0.5	0.11	0.4	0,05	0,3
Supplemental pay	1,05	3,1	2.02	3.6	0,58	2.3	0.29	1,6
Overtime and premium ⁴	0.26	0.8 0.2	0.17	0.3	0,15	0,8 0,1	0.15 0.05	0.8 0.3
Nonproduction bonuses	0.74	22	1.77	3.1	0.42	1,7	0.09	0.5
Insurance	3.00	8.8	4.60	8.1	2.37	9.8	1.41	7,9
LNo	0.04	0.1	0.08	0.1	· 0.03	0.1	0.02	0.1
Hearn	2.85	8.4	4.38	7.7	2.27	9,1	1.37	7.7
Long-lerm disability	0.05	0.1	80.0	0.1	0.03	0.2	0.02 { ⁵ }	0.1 (⁶)
Retrement and savings	1.78	5.2	3,35	5.9	0.90	3.6	0.79	44
Dained banest	1,11	3.2	2.08	3,7	0.44	1.8	0.79	3.5
Defined contribution	0.65	1.9	1.27	2.2	0.45	1.8	0,15	0.9
Legaly required benefits	. 2.56	7.5	3.55	6.3	1.88	7.5	1,69	9.5
Social Security and Medicare	1,69	8,5	3,00	6.3	1.48	5,6	90,7	5.9
Social Becurity ⁷	1.50	44	2.35 0.85	4.1	1,17 0,29	4.7	0.85	4.8 1.2
Federal unemployment insurance	0.03	0,1	0.02	(*) l	0.04	0.1	0.04	0.2
Biale unemployment insurance	0,17	10.5	0.18	0.3	0.18	0.6	0.15	0.9
Workers' compensation	0.47	1.4	0.37	0.7	0,23	0.9	0.44	2.5
		Occupation	nal group			industr	y croup	
	Ne	Occupation		refice		Industr	y group	
Commonstille	1950	tural urces,	Produ	action, ortston,	Go			4
Compensation component	const	tural urces, ruction,	Produ	ortetion, nd	Go	ods-	Ser	vice-
Compensation component	CONTE	tural urces,	Prode transpe as	ortetion,	Go		Ser	vice- kling ³
	resor consti s maint	tural urces, ruction, and enance	Prode transport	ortetion, nd lerial vktg	produ	ods- acing ²	Ser provi	ding ³
	CONTE	tural urces, ruction, nd	Prode transpe as	ortetion, nd lens!	Go prod: Cost	ods-	Ser	rice- ding ³
	resor consti s maint	tural urces, ruction, and enance	Prode transport	ortetion, nd lerial vktg	produ	ods- acing ²	Ser provi	ding ³
. component	consti consti maint	tural urces, ruction, and enance Percent	Prode transper as made more	ortetion, nd lerial visig Percent	Cost	ods- acing ² Percent	Ser- provi	Percent
Component Total compensation	constinue Cost	ture! urces, ruction, and enance Percent 100.0	Prode banape at mark more Cost	Percent	Cost	Percent	Ser provi	Percent
Total compensation Wages and exteries Total benefits	constinue Cost \$34.94	tural urces, ruction, and enance Percent 100.0 66.8	Prock branspore in mark more Cost \$27.46	Percent 100.0 65.8	Cost \$39,02 25.88	Percent 100.0 68.3	Cost \$33.30	Percent 100.0 69,0 31,0
Total compensation	### 188	percent 100.0 66.8 33.2 6.8 2.7	Prode banspe a mai mor Cost \$27.46 18.07 9.38 1.64 0.62	Percent 100.0 65.8 34.2 6.0 3.0	Frod: \$39.02 25.88 13.14 2.83 1.31	Percent 100.0 66.3 33.7 6.5 3.4	Cost \$33.30 22.89 10.31 2.33 1.13	Percent 100.0 69.0 31.0 7.0 3.4
Total compensation Weges and saferies Total benefits Paid leave Vacation Holday	### ##################################	tural turos, ruetion, and snance Percent 100.0 66.8 33.2 6.3 2.7 1.8	Produ transpo grant mor Cost \$27,45 18.07 9.38 1.64 0.55	Percent 100.0 65.8 34.2 6.0 3.0 2.0	Frod: \$39.02 25.88 13.14 2.83 1.31 0.88	Percent 100.0 66.3 33.7 6.5 3.4 2.2	Ser provi	Percent 100.0 69.0 31.0 7.0 3.4 2.0
Total compensation	### 188	percent 100.0 66.8 33.2 6.8 2.7	Prode banspe a mai mor Cost \$27.46 18.07 9.38 1.64 0.62	Percent 100.0 65.8 34.2 6.0 3.0	Frod: \$39.02 25.88 13.14 2.83 1.31	Percent 100.0 66.3 33.7 6.5 3.4	Cost \$33.30 22.89 10.31 2.33 1.13	Percent 100.0 69.0 31.0 7.0 3.4
Total compensation Weges and estartes Total benefits Peid leave Vacation Holiday Bick Personal	79500 contable mainte Cost \$34.94 23.34 11.81 1.89 0.94 0.81	tural turces, ruction, and enance Percent 100.0 66.8 33.2 6.3 2.7 1.8 0.8	Prode bassper at mark more Cost \$27.45 18.07 9.38 1.64 0.82 0.55 0.20	Percent 100.0 65.8 34.2 6.0 2.0 2.0 7.7	\$39,02 25,88 13,14 2,53 1,31 0,85 0,25	Percent 100.0 66.3 33.7 6.5 3.4 2.2 0.8	Ser provi	Percent 100.0 69.0 31.0 7.0 3.4 2.0 1.1 0.5
Total compensation Wages and sateries Total benefits	**************************************	turel tures, ruction, and solution of the tures of tures	Productions of the control of the co	Percent 100.0 65.8 34.2 6.0 0.7 0.3 3.6 2.0	\$39,02 25.88 13,14 2.83 1.31 0.88 0.25 0,10	Percent 100.0 66.3 31.7 6.5 3.4 2.2 0.8 0.3 3.5 1.5	Cost \$33.30 22.89 10.31 2.33 1.13 0.68 0.37 0.15 0.97 0.20	Percent 100.0 69.0 31.0 7.0 3.4 2.0 1.1 0.5 2.9 0.8
Total compensation Wages and estaries Total benefits Paid isave Vacation Holidity Sitk Personal Buptemental pey Overtime and premium ⁴ Sith Gifferentials Sith Gifferentials	### 1.81 1.89 0.81 0.21 0.10 1.03 0.71 0.05	tural turces, ruction, and snance Percent 100.0 66.8 33.2 6.3 2.7 1.8 0.3 2.0 2.0 0.1	Productions of the control of the co	Percent 100.0 65.8 34.2 6.0 2.0 0.7 0.3 3.6 2.0 0.3	\$39.02 25.88 13.14 2.83 1.31 0.88 0.25 0.10 1.49 0.68	Percent 100.0 66.3 33.7 6.5 3.4 2.2 0.8 0.3 3.5 1.5 0.2	Ser provided to the provided t	Percent 100.0 69.0 31.0 7.0 3.4 2.0 1.1 0.5 2.9 0.2
Component Total compensation	79500 COSTS 100	hural urces, nucleon,	Productions of the service of the se	Netfon, not of the control of the co	\$39.02 25.88 13.14 2.63 1.31 0.88 0.25 0.10 1.49 0.55 0.68 0.84	Percent 100.0 66.3 33.7 6.5 3.4 2.2 0.8 0.3 3.5 1.5 0.2 2.1	Ser provided to the provided t	Percent 100.0 69.0 31.0 7.0 3.4 2.0 1.1 0.5 2.9 0.2 2.2
Total compensation Weges and estartes Total benefits Paid leave Vacation Holiday Sick Personal Supplemental pay Overtime and premium ⁴ SINT differentials Nopproduction bonuses	resor contain maint. Cost \$34.94 23.34 11.81 1.88 0.94 0.81 0.21 0.10 1.03 0.71 0.05 0.27 3.26	tural turces, ruction, and snance Percent 100.0 66.8 33.2 6.3 2.7 1.8 0.3 2.0 2.0 0.1	Productions of the control of the co	Percent 100.0 65.8 34.2 6.0 2.0 0.7 0.3 3.6 2.0 0.3	\$39.02 25.88 13.14 2.83 1.31 0.88 0.25 0.10 1.49 0.68	Percent 100.0 66.3 33.7 6.5 3.4 2.2 0.8 0.3 3.5 1.5 0.2	Ser provided to the provided t	Percent 100.0 69.0 31.0 7.0 3.4 2.0 1.1 0.5 2.9 0.8 0.2 2.2
Total compensation Weges and estartes Total benefits Peld leave Vacation Holdday Sick Personal Supplemental pay Overtime and premium and streeniles Nonproduction bonuses Insurance Life Heatth	**************************************	tures, ucclon, and enance Percent 100.0 66.8 33.2 6.3 2.7 1.6 0.3 2.0 2.0 0.1 0.8 9.3 0.1 8.9	Proofs transport to the state of the state o	orteton, and lerial viriginal virigi	Cost \$39.02 25.88 13.14 2.63 1.31 0.88 0.25 0.10 1.49 0.68 0.84 3.67 0.06 3.47	Percent 100.0 66.3 33.7 6.5 3.4 2.2 0.8 0.3 1.5 0.2 2.1 9.4 0.2 8.9	Ser provided to the provided t	Percent 100.0 69.0 31.0 7.0 3.4 2.0 1.1 0.5 2.9 0.8 0.2 2.2
Component Total compensation Wages and salaries Total benefits Paid leave Vacation Holday Sick Personal Supplemental pay Overtime and premium ⁴ Shift differentials Nonproduction bonuses Insurance Life Health Short-tarm Gasbiffly	1.81 1.85 0.91 0.91 0.91 0.91 0.91 0.91 0.91 0.91	tural uross, ruction, mid enance Percent 100.0 66.8 33.2 2.7 1.8 0.3 2.7 1.8 0.3 2.0 2.0 1.0 2	Proof: bransper grant mer cost \$27.45 \$18.07 \$9.38 \$1.54 \$0.55 \$0.50 \$0.97 \$0.55 \$0.38 \$0.38 \$0.04	orteton, and lerist vicing Percent 100.0 65.8 34.2 6.0 2.0 0.7 0.3 3.6 2.0 0.3 1.3 10.8 0.1	Cost \$39.02 25.88 13.14 2.53 1.31 0.58 0.25 0.10 1.49 0.58 0.68 0.84 0.84 3.67 0.06	Percent 100.0 66.3 33.7 6.5 3.4 2.2 0.8 0.3 3.8 1.5 0.2 2.1	Ser provided to the provided t	Percent 100.0 69.0 31.0 7.0 3.4 2.0 1.1 0.5 0.8 0.2 2.2
Total compensation Wages and sateries Total benefits Paid leave Vacation Holdsy Sick Personal Supplemental pay Overtime and premium ⁴ Shift differentials Nonproduction bonuses Insurance Life Health Short-term disability Long-term disability	1.89 0.94 0.81 0.71 0.05 0.27 0.05 0.27 0.05 0.27 0.00 0.03 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	tures a trees a tree a	Proof: bransper 8: market move of the proof	ortation, and	Cost \$39.02 25.88 13.14 2.53 1.31 0.88 0.25 0.10 1.49 0.55 0.68 0.84 3.67 0.06 3.47 0.06 0.05 0.08	Percent 100.0 66.3 33.7 6.5 3.4 2.2 0.3 3.5 1.5 0.2 2.1 9.4 0.2 0.1 10.0 0.2 0.1	Ser provided to the provided t	Percent 100.0 69.0 31.0 7.0 3.4 2.0 1.1 0.5 2.9 8.7 0.1 8.2 2.1 0.1 0.1
Total compensation Weges and estartes Total benefits Peld leave Vacation Holiday Sick Personal Buptemental pay Overtime and premiumf Shift differentials Nonproduction bonuses Insurance Life Health Short-term disability Lond-term disability Refinement and analyses	**************************************	percent 100.0 66.8 33.2 6.3 2.7 1.8 0.3 2.0 0.1 0.1 8.9 0.1 8.	Proof: bransper 8: marker mov Cost 827.45 18.07 9.38 1.64 0.82 0.55 0.00 0.07 0.99 0.55 0.08 0.18 2.98 0.04 2.82 0.09 0.06 1.22 0.72	ortation, and	Cost \$39,02 25.88 13.14 2.53 1.31 0.88 0.25 0.10 1.49 0.58 0.68 0.68 0.68 0.68 0.68 0.68 0.68 0.6	Percent 100.0 66.3 31.7 6.5 3.4 2.2 0.8 0.3 1.5 0.2 2.1 9.4 0.2 0.1 5.5	Ser provided to the provided t	Percent 100.0 69.0 31.0 7.0 3.4 2.0 0.5 2.9 0.8 0.2 2.2 2.2 8.7 0.1 8.2 0.1
Total compensation Wages and sateries Total benefits Paid leave Vacation Holdsy Sick Personal Supplemental pay Overtime and premium ⁴ Shift differentials Nonproduction bonuses Insurance Life Health Short-term disability Long-term disability	1.89 0.94 0.81 0.71 0.05 0.27 0.05 0.27 0.05 0.27 0.00 0.03 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	tures a trees a tree a	Proof: bransper 8: market move of the proof	ortation, and	Cost \$39.02 25.88 13.14 2.53 1.31 0.88 0.25 0.10 1.49 0.55 0.68 0.84 3.67 0.06 3.47 0.06 0.05 0.08	Percent 100.0 66.3 33.7 6.5 3.4 2.2 0.3 3.5 1.5 0.2 2.1 9.4 0.2 0.1 10.0 0.2 0.1	Ser provided to the provided t	Percent 100.0 69.0 31.0 7.0 3.4 2.0 1.1 0.5 0.8 0.2 2.2 8.7 0.1 0.5 5.1
Total compensation Weges and saferies Total benefits Peld icave Vacation Holdsty Sick Personal Supplemental pey Overtime and premium ⁴ Sin differentials Nonproduction bonuses Insurance Life Health Short-term disability Cong-term disability Retirement and savings Defined benefit Defined contribution Legally required benefits	1.83 (0.81 (bural uross, uro	Proof transport from the proof transport from the proof transport from the proof transport from	ortation, and	\$39.02 25.88 13.14 2.63 1.31 0.88 0.25 0.10 1.49 0.55 0.68 0.84 3.67 0.08 2.16 1.21 0.95 3.29	Percent 100.0 66.3 31.7 6.5 3.4 2.2 0.8 0.3 3.8 1.5 0.2 2.1 9.4 0.2 8.9 0.2 1.1 8.8 3.1 2.4 8.4 8.4	Ser provided to the provided t	Percent 100.0 69.0 31.0 7.0 3.4 2.0 1.1 0.5 0.8 0.2 2.2 8.7 0.1 6.2 0.1 5.1 3.3 1.8 7.3
Total compensation Weges and sateries Total banefils Paid leave Vacation Holiday Sick Personal Supplemental pay Overtime and premium ⁴ Sing differentials Norproduction bonuses Life Health Health Short-term disability Long-term disability Long-term disability Reference and savings Defined benefit Defined contribution Legaly required benefits Social Security and Medicare	1.85 0.94 0.81 0.95 0.27 3.26 0.04 3.11 0.00 0.03 2.10 0.81 3.35 1.80 0.81 3.25 1.80 0.80 0.80 0.80 0.80 0.80 0.80 0.80	bural urosa, uro	Proof transper at mark more transper at mark more transper at mark more transper at mark more transper at more transpersation at more transpers	ortation, and	\$39.02 25.88 13.14 2.83 1.31 0.88 0.25 0.10 1.49 0.68 0.68 0.84 3.67 0.06 3.47 0.08 0.05 2.18 1.21 0.95	Percent 100.0 66.3 33.7 6.5 3.4 2.2 0.8 0.3 1.5 0.2 2.1 9.4 0.2 8.9 0.2 0.1 5.8 3.1 2.4 8.8 5.6	Ser provided to the provided t	Percent 100.0 69.0 31.0 7.0 3.4 2.0 1.1 0.5 2.9 0.8 0.2 2.2 8.7 0.1 0.1 5.1 3.3 1.8 7.3 6.5
Total compensation Weges and safaries Total benefits Paid icave Vacation Holdday Sick Personal Supplemental pay Overtime and premium ⁴ Nonproduction bonuses Insurance Life Health Short-farm Glasbilly Cong-farm Glasbilly Retirement and savings Defined benefit Defined contribution Legaly required benefits Social Security and Medicare Social Security	1.85	bural uross, urodon, and enance Percent 100.0 66.8 33.2 6.3 2.7 1.8 0.6 0.3 2.0 0.1 8.9 0.2 0.1 8.0 0.2 0.1 8.0 0.1 8.0 0.1 8.0 0.1 8.0 0.	Proof transpersion of the control of	ortation, and	\$39.02 25.88 13.14 2.83 1.31 0.88 9.25 0.10 1.49 0.68 0.68 0.68 0.68 0.84 3.67 0.09 0.05 3.47 0.09 0.05 3.47 0.09 0.05 3.47 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.0	Percent 100.0 66.3 31.7 6.5 3.4 2.2 0.8 0.3 3.8 1.5 0.2 2.1 9.4 0.2 8.9 0.2 1.1 8.8 3.1 2.4 8.4 8.4	Ser provided to the provided t	Percent 100.0 69.0 31.0 7.0 3.4 2.0 1.1 0.5 0.8 0.2 2.2 8.7 0.1 6.2 0.1 5.1 3.3 1.8 7.3
Total compensation Wages and safaries Total benefits Paid iseve Vacation Holdridy Sick Personal Bupplemental pay Overtime and premium ⁴ Nonproduction bonuses Insurance Life Health Short-term disability Long-term disability Long-term disability Long-term disability Retirement and savings Defined benefit Defined contribution Legally required benefits Social Security and Medicare Social Security Medicare Social security Medicare Social security Medicare Federal uneroployment insurance	1.03 0.71 0.03 0.71 0.03 0.71 0.03 0.71 0.05 0.27 3.26 0.04 3.11 0.00 3.11 0.00 3.11 0.03 1.09 0.03	bural uross, uro	Proof transport of	ortation, and	\$39.02 25.88 13.14 2.83 1.31 0.88 0.25 0.10 1.49 0.58 0.84 3.67 0.05 3.47 0.05 2.18 1.29 2.18 1.29 2.18 1.29 2.18 1.29 2.18 1.29 2.18 1.29 2.18 1.29 2.18 1.29 2.18 1.29 2.18 1.29 2.18 1.29 2.18 2.19 2.19 2.18 2.19 2.19 2.19 2.19 2.19 2.19 2.19 2.19	Percent 100.0 66.3 33.7 6.5 3.4 5.5 0.2 2.1 9.4 0.2 2.1 5.8 3.1 2.4 5.5 1.1 0.1	Ser provided to the provided t	Percent 100.0 69.0 31.0 7.0 3.4 2.0 1.1 0.5 0.2 2.2 2.2 8.7 1.1 0.5 5.1 3.3 1.5 7.3 6.5 4.4 1.1 0.1
Total compensation Weges and estartes Total benefits Peld leave Vacation Holdday Sick Personal Buptemental pay Overtime and premiumf Shift differentials Nonproduction bonuses Insurance Life Health Short-term disability Lond-term disability Lond-term disability Cefined benefit Defined contribution Legaly required benefits Bootal Security and Medicare Social Security' Medicare	1.85	bural uross, urodon, and enance Percent 100.0 66.8 33.2 6.3 2.7 1.8 0.6 0.3 2.0 0.1 8.9 0.2 0.1 8.0 0.2 0.1 8.0 0.1 8.0 0.1 8.0 0.1 8.0 0.	Proof transpersion of the control of	ortation, and	\$39.02 25.88 13.14 2.83 1.31 0.88 9.25 0.10 1.49 0.68 0.68 0.68 0.68 0.84 3.67 0.09 0.05 3.47 0.09 0.05 3.47 0.09 0.05 3.47 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.0	Percent 100.0 66.3 33.7 6.5 3.4 2.2 0.8 0.3 1.5 0.2 2.1 9.4 0.2 8.9 0.2 0.1 5.6 5.5 1.1 2.4 8.4 5.5 4.5 1.1	Ser provided to the provided t	Percent 100.0 69.0 31.0 7.0 3.4 2.0 1.1 0.5 2.9 0.8 0.2 2.2 2.2 8.7 0.1 0.1 5.1 3.3 1.8 7.3 8.5 4.4 1.1

Source: Employer Costs for Employee Compensation - September 2016, U. S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, December, 2016

Includes workers in the private nonterm economy excluding households and the public sector excluding the Federal government.

Includes untring, construction, and manufacturing. The agriculture, foretry, terming, and hunding sector is excluded.

Includes utilities: whotestale bade; retail bade; intersportation and weshousing, information; finance and insurance; rasi estate and rental not leaving professional and leachness services; excepted of comparises and enterprises; exclusional services; excepted of comparises and enterprises; excepted of comparises of excepted of the excepted of exc

Estimated annual value of household services

	Hours per week spent in non-market work	Hourly wage ²	Annual dollar value (2010\$)
MALES	14.13	\$10.17	\$ 7,472
FEMALES	22.12	\$10.17	\$11,698
	Hours per week spent in child care	Hourly wage 2	Annual dollar value (2010\$)
MALES	2.89	\$10.17	\$ 1,528
FEMALES	6.42	\$10.17	\$ 3,395

Sources:

- 1. "Time Use During the Great Recession", Mark Aguiar, Erik Hurst, and Loukas Karabarbounis, American Economic Review, August, 2013, 103(5): 1664-1696 and Online Appendix.
- 2. Mean hourly wage, maids and housekeeping cleaners, Occupational Employment and Wages, 2010, Bureau of Labor Statistics, United States Department of Labor.

Curriculum Vitae

Gary M. Crakes

Birthdate: July 2, 1953

Home: 860 Ward Lane

Phone: (203) 272-1205 Cheshire, CT 06410 Fax: (203) 250-8452

Education

Ph.D. (Economics) 1984, University of Connecticut

M.A. (Economics) 1976, University of Connecticut

(Economics) 1975, Central Connecticut State College

Fellowships and Honors

2017 Biographical listing, Who's Who in America

2004 Honored, Trial Lawyers Care, Association of Trial Lawyers of America, for pro bono economic services, September 11th Victim Compensation Fund

1998 School of Business Outstanding Teacher Award

1987 University Teacher of the Year Award

1985 Member, State of Connecticut Senior Economist Examination Committee

1983 Richard D. Irwin Fellowship

1983 University of Connecticut Dissertation Fellowship

1975-1976 University of Connecticut Pre-doctoral Fellowship

Professional Organizations

American Economic Association Eastern Economic Association Omicron Delta Epsilon National Association of Forensic Economists American Academy of Economic and Financial Experts American Association of University Professors National Association of Business Economics

Professional Experience

- Economic consultant, Maher, Crakes, and Associates
- 2011 Professor Emeritus, Department of Economics and Finance, School of Business, Southern Connecticut State University
- 1989 2011 Professor, Department of Economics and Finance, School of Business, Southern Connecticut State University
- 1991-1995 Chairman, Department of Economics and Finance, School of Business, Southern Connecticut State University
- January 1988-June 1988 Visiting Professor, Department of Behavioral Science and Community Health, School of Dental Medicine, University of Connecticut Health Center
- September 1985-August 1989 Associate Professor, School of Business, Southern Connecticut State University (tenured, 1986)
- August 1980 August 1985 Assistant Professor, School of Business, Southern Connecticut State University
- January 1979-May 1980 Instructor, University of Connecticut (Hartford) June 1976-December 1978 Research Assistant, University of Connecticut Health Center. Research Assistant, School of Agriculture, University of Connecticut

Publications

Journals

- Crakes, G. and Cottrill, M. "The Connecticut State Income Tax:
 Progressive, Regressive, and Proportional," <u>Journal of the American</u>
 Academy of Business, (September, 2007)
- Cottrill, M. and Crakes, G. "A Different Look at "Pay for Performance": Returns to Bench Strength in the NFL," The Business Review, Cambridge, (December, 2004).
- Beazoglou, T., Crakes, G., Doherty, N. and Heffley, D. "Determinants of Dentists' Geographic Distribution," <u>Journal of Dental Education</u> (November, 1992)
- Doherty, N. and Crakes, G. "Estimating the Costs of Public Dental Programs: Mobile Clinics," Community Dental Health (Spring, 1987).
- Crakes, G. "A Comparison of Economic Estimates of Loss with Jury Awards and Pre-verdict Settlements," <u>Business Journal</u> (Fall, 1985).
- Doherty, N. and Crakes, G. "Economic Specification of Cost Estimates in Dental Programs," Journal of Dental Research (June, 1985).
- Doherty, N. and Crakes, G. "Adjusting Social Program Costs for Research and Evaluation Activities," Evaluation Review (August, 1980).
- Doherty, N. and Crakes, G. "Commentary: Reply to Joan Quinn," <u>Inquiry</u> (Summer, 1980).
- Doherty, N., Horowitz, P. and Crakes, G. "Real Costs of Dental Care in Private and Public Practice," Medical Care (January, 1980).
- Doherty, N. and Crakes, G. "The Impact of a Change in Regulation on Costs in an Experimental Program," <u>Inquiry</u> (Summer, 1979).

Proceedings

- Crakes, G. with Cottrill, M. "The Growth of Student Indebtedness and the Role of Higher Education as a U.S. Response to Globalization,"

 Proceedings of the Global Conference on Business & Economics,

 Association for Business & Economics Research, October, 2007
- Crakes, G. with Cottrill, M. "The Rising Relative Price of Higher Education and the U.S. Response to Offshore Outsourcing,"

 <u>Proceedings of the New England Business Administration Association</u>,
 May, 2007.
- Crakes, G. with Cottrill, M. "More on "Pay for Performance"-The Disruptive Effect of Star Power in the NFL," Proceedings of the New England Business Administration Association, May, 2005.
- Crakes, G. with Crakes, A. "Education, Earnings, and the NCAA Student Athlete," Proceedings of the New England Business Administration
 Association, May, 2004.

- Crakes, G. with Cottrill, M. and Crakes, J. "Context for the Medical Malpractice Insurance Debate," <u>Proceedings of the Conference on Emerging Issues in Business and Technology</u>, October, 2003.
- Crakes, G. with Thorson, J. and Cottrill, M. "The Impact of Law Firm Concentration on Lawyers' Salaries," <u>Proceedings of the New England Business Administration Association</u>, April, 2000.
- Crakes, G. with Thorson, J. and Cottrill, M. "Are Baseball Players
 Overpaid? An Analysis of Major League Baseball Salaries," Proceedings
 of the Northeast Business & Economics Association, October, 1998.
- Crakes, G. with Cottrill, M. "The Connecticut State Income Tax and the Equal Sacrifice Rule," <u>Proceedings of the New England Business</u>
 Administration Association, November, 1997.
- Crakes, G. with Cottrill, M. "Banking It Before the Bar: Legal Compensation Trends in Major Markets," <u>Proceedings of the Northeast Business & Economics Association</u>, September, 1997.
- Crakes, G. with Cottrill, M. "Young and Old Scholars," <u>Proceedings of the Eastern Case Writers Association/Eastern Academy of Management</u>, May, 1994.

Abstracts

Crakes, G. and Doherty, N. "Costs of Public Dental Clinics Under Capacity Utilization," Journal of Dental Research (January, 1979).

Technical Reports

Doherty, N., Horowitz, P. and Crakes, G. "Analysis of Economic Factors Associated with Providing Incremental Dental Care to Children" for the National Institute of Health, United States Public Health Service, 1978.

Other

- Economic Consultant, 4th edition, 1995, Recovery for Wrongful Death and Injury: Economic Handbook, Stuart M. Speiser and John Maher, Clark, Boardman, & Callaghan, New York, N.Y..
- Economic Consultant (with J. Maher), 3rd edition, 1988 Recovery for Wrongful Death and Injury: Economic Handbook, Stuart M. Speiser, Bancroft, Whitney/Lawyers Cooperative Publishing, Rochester, N.Y...
- Crakes, G.M. "CSUS Chief's Bonus Appalls," Hartford Courant, December 20, 2009.
- Crakes, G.M. "Competition Causing Disagreement Over Deregulation," New Haven Register, August 6, 1981.

Conferences

Papers Presented

- Co-author of paper titled "Do Older Workers Respond to Incentives?

 Evidence From the Senior PGA Tour" (with M. Cottrill and J. Thorson, presenter) presented at the annual Connecticut State University Research Conference, October, 1999, Eastern Connecticut State University.
- Presented co-authored paper titled "The Intra and Inter-State Distribution of Dentists" (with T. Beazoglou and D. Heffley) at the annual meeting of the Eastern Economic Association, March 3, 1989 in Baltimore, MD.
- Co-author of paper titled "Determinants of Dentists' Geographic Distribution" (with T. Beazoglou and D. Heffley, presenter) presented at the meeting of the American Association of Dental Research, March 15, 1989 in San Francisco, CA.
- Presented co-authored paper titled "Estimating Dental Practice Production Functions with Alternative Output Measures" (with T. Beazoglou) at the annual meeting of the Eastern Economic Association, March 4-7, 1987 in Washington, D.C.
- Presented paper titled "A Comparison of Economic Estimates of Loss with Jury Awards and Pre-verdict Settlements" at the meeting of the Atlantic Economic Society, August 29 - September 1, 1985 in Washington, D.C.
- Presented co-authored paper titled, "A Re-examination of the Returns to Scale of Dental Practices" (with T. Beazoglou) at the annual meeting of the Eastern Economic Association, March 21-23, 1985 in Pittsburgh, PA.
- Presented co-authored paper titled "Economic Specification of Costs of Dental Programs" (with N. Doherty) at the annual meeting of the Eastern Economic Association, March 21-23, 1985 in Pittsburgh, PA.

Other

- Chairperson of session, "Economics" at the annual meeting of the New England Business Administration Association, April, 1993 in New Haven, CT.
- Chairperson and organizer of session, "Health Economics" at the annual meeting of the Eastern Economic Association, March 28, 1992 in New York City, NY.
- Discussant of paper titled, "Massachusetts Hospitals in the Eighties: Financial Analysis of Large Urban and Other Hospitals" at the annual meeting of Northeast Business Economics Association, November 7, 1991 in Stamford, CT.

- Discussant of paper titled, "Female Work Experience: Voluntary vs.
 Involuntary Labor Force Activity" at the meeting of the National
 Association of Forensic Economists, March 4, 1989 in Baltimore, MD
- Discussant of paper titled "Diogenes' Expenses: The Costs to the Court of Seeking Truth in Medical Malpractice Cases" at the annual meeting of the Eastern Economic Association, March 12, 1988 in Boston, MA.
- Discussant of paper titled "Present Value and the Structure of Interest Rates" at the meeting of the National Association of Forensic Economists, March 11, 1988 in Boston, MA.
- Chairperson of session, "Topics in Health Economics" at the annual meeting of the Eastern Economic Association, March 11, 1983 in Boston, MA.
- Discussant of paper titled "The Nursing Shortage and Its Associated Planning Problems" at the annual meeting of the New England Business and Economics Association, November 5, 1982 in Springfield, MA.
- Coordinator and moderator for "The Role of Preventative Care: Is It a Healthy Alternative?" sponsored by the Joint Council on Economic Education, April 23, 1981, Southern Connecticut State College.